

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE  
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CARL VAN PUTTEN,

Petitioner

v.

WARDEN EBBERT,

Respondent

:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:

CIVIL NO. 4:CV-17-85

(Judge Brann)

**ORDER**

January 25, 2017

In accordance with the accompanying Memorandum, **IT IS HEREBY**

**ORDERED THAT:**

1. Petitioner's in forma pauperis application is  
**GRANTED** for the sole purpose of the filing of this  
action with this Court.
2. Van Putten's habeas corpus petition is **DISMISSED**  
**WITHOUT PREJUDICE** as an unauthorized second  
or successive petition and for lack of jurisdiction.

3. The Clerk of Court is directed to **CLOSE** this case.
4. Based on the Court's conclusion herein, there is no basis for the issuance of a certificate of appealability.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Matthew W. Brann

Matthew W. Brann  
United States District Judge